

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspito.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/849,315	0	5/07/2001	Joseph J. Solon	4025	4025 8680	
	7590	08/29/2002				
Laurence R.			EXAMINER			
7412 Spring Village Drive Apt 204				THOMAS, ALEXANDER S		
Springfield, V	A 22150	0		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1772	11.	
				DATE MAILED: 08/29/2002	$\mathcal{T}$	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-				H5.				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/849,315	SOLON, JOSEPH J.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Alexander S. Thomas	1772					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failur - Any I earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing days and the remaining the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.				
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) \( \times \) T	his action is non-final.						
2a)[☐	Since this application is in condition for allow		ittore procedution as to the marits	ie				
3) [	closed in accordance with the practice under con of Claims			15				
·	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	n.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-23</u> is/are withdra							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	Claim(s) <u>1,2,9-11,13-17 and 24</u> is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>3-8 and 12</u> is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.					
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •						
,—	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
-	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
•—	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in A	Application No					
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_					
14)[] <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application	tion).				
	) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	· ·						
Attachmen	•	p						
1) Notice 2) Notice	the of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) the mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/849,315

Art Unit: 1772

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-17 and 24, drawn to a process, classified in class 156, subclass
     95.
- II. Claims 18-23, drawn to an article, classified in class 428, subclass 121.

  The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process can be used to make a different article than that claimed such as an article wherein the pallet does not have a configuration for transport by a fork lift.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Brown on February 28, 2002 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-17 and 24. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 18-23 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/849,315

Art Unit: 1772

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 9, 14, 16 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Miller ('750). See column 7, lines 46-63.
- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2, 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller ('750). The reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed; see column 7, lines 46-63. However it does not disclose completely filling the pallet, storing the pallets outdoors or storing the pallets side by side and upon one another. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to completely fill the pallets, and store them in side by side and stacked upon one another configuration in order to maximize the use of the storage facilities. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to store the pallets in any convenient location such as outdoors.
- 9. Claims 10, 13 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller ('750) in view of Pignataro ('083). The primary reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed; see column 7, lines 46-63. However it does not disclose removing the sidewalls from the tread. The secondary reference discloses removing the sidewalls from the tread prior to shipping in a recycling process; see

Application/Control Number: 09/849,315

Art Unit: 1772

column 1, lines 12-18 and column 3, lines 60-64. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to remove the sidewalls form the tread, as taught by the secondary reference, in the process of the primary reference to provide a uniform article for transportation if extra processing steps could be tolerated.

10. Claims 3-8 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander S. Thomas whose telephone number is 703-308-2421. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:00-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-5408 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Olefandy S. Prace